

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLIC	APPLICATION NO.		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/966,042		09/28/2001		Marja Salmimaa	04770.00022	4272		
229	907	7590	02/24/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
	ANNER &			SAX, STEVEN PAUL				
	UITE 1100	212 I I W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
W	WASHINGTON, DC 20001				2174	7		
					DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

š_	•								
		· · · ·	Application N	•	Applicant(s)				
,		(09/966,042	i	SALMIMAA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			xaminer		Art Unit				
	•	s	Steven P Sax		2174				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app a	rs on the c v	er sheet with the c	orresp ndence add	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr operiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum so the toreply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(anunication. so) days, a reply with atutory period will a vill, by statute, ca	a). In no event, ho thin the statutory n apply and will expi use the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
2a)□		 2b)⊠ This ac	tion is non-fi	nal.					
3)									
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disp sit	ion of Claims					•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the Cartesian specific properties of the Cartesian specification is objected to the Cartesian specific properties of the Cartesian specification is objected to the Cartesian specific properties of the Cartesian specific	: a) accept ection to the dra g the correction	awing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFI	` '			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) X Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3, 5-6</u> .		5) [6) [ite atent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,042

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application has been examined.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-54 of U. S. Patent No. 6668177 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: mobile terminal displaying selectable icons, receiving plurality of messages, comparing characteristics associated with the messages to context values, determining proximity associated with message source, associating icons with the messages, representing best match message as enlarged icon, representing closest proximity message as enlarged icon (claims 21-24 especially).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/966,042

Art Unit: 2174

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 25-26 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nykanen (6362841) and Pivowar et al (6466236).
- 5. Regarding claim 25, Nykanen shows the mobile terminal dislaying graphical icons (Figure 2), user input that permits selection of the icons (column 7 lines 1-15), , displaying an icon associated with matching user specified context (column 6 lines 55-67, column 7 lines 35-50), and specifying a second icon demonstrating closer proximity to the source than the first icon (column 8 lines 7-46). Nykanen does not specifically show that the way to display or distinguish the icon is by enlarging it, but does mention efficiently notifying a change to the user. Furthermore, Pivowar et al show efficiently notifying change to a user in a mobile terminal, by enlarging the icon (column 3 lines 12-25, column 5 lines 20-40). It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to have the enlarging in Nykanen, because it would be an efficient way to notify change to a user.
- 6. Regarding claim 26, the icon is extracted from the message (Nykanen column 7 innes 30-51).
- 7. Claims 30 and 32 show the same features as claims 25.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/966,042

Art Unit: 2174

8. Regarding claim 31, the icons may be enlarged from among the group (Pivowar et al column 3 lines 12-30). This is part of the feature, whose obviousness to combine is explained above.

Page 4

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven P Sax whose telephone number is 703-305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

steven sax

Practice Expressive